

Beyond Marks: The transformative power of Assessment Literacy in Teaching Practice

LUIS ALMEIDA

Instituto Piaget
Escola Superior de Educação Jean Piaget em Almada
Portugal
luis.almeida@ipiaget.pt

ABSTRACT

This theoretical article examines the concept of assessment literacy and its critical role in enhancing teaching practices and student learning outcomes. The study analyses three key dimensions: (1) the theoretical foundations of assessment literacy, (2) its impact on improving educational processes, and (3) current challenges and future directions for developing this essential teacher competency. The analysis reveals that assessment literacy extends far beyond test administration, encompassing a deep understanding of assessment purposes, curriculum alignment, diverse evaluation methods, and data-informed instructional decisions. Research demonstrates that teachers with strong assessment literacy skills are better equipped to implement effective formative assessment, provide meaningful feedback, and promote educational equity. However, significant gaps remain in teacher preparation programs and school assessment practices. To address these challenges, the article proposes comprehensive strategies including enhanced teacher education programs, collaborative professional development initiatives, and systemic support for innovative assessment approaches. The findings underscore that advancing assessment literacy represents not merely a technical requirement but a transformative force for creating more responsive, equitable, and effective educational systems.

KEYWORDS

Assessment literacy, learning assessment, teacher education, assessment practices, formative assessment, educational equity, teaching strategies

RÉSUMÉ

Cet article théorique examine le concept de littératie en évaluation et son rôle crucial dans l'amélioration des pratiques enseignantes et des résultats d'apprentissage des élèves. L'étude analyse trois dimensions clés : (1) les fondements théoriques de la littératie en évaluation, (2) son impact sur l'amélioration des processus éducatifs, et (3) les défis actuels et les orientations futures pour le développement de cette compétence essentielle chez les enseignants. L'analyse révèle que la littératie en évaluation va bien au-delà de la simple administration de tests ; elle englobe une compréhension approfondie des objectifs de l'évaluation, de son alignement sur le curriculum, de la diversité des méthodes d'évaluation et des décisions pédagogiques éclairées par les données. La recherche démontre que les enseignants disposant de solides compétences en littératie évaluative sont mieux armés pour mettre en œuvre une évaluation formative efficace, fournir des retours significatifs et promouvoir l'équité éducative. Cependant, des lacunes importantes persistent dans les programmes de formation des enseignants et dans les pratiques évaluatives scolaires. Pour relever ces défis, l'article propose des stratégies globales incluant le renforcement des

programmes de formation initiale et continue des enseignants, des initiatives de développement professionnel collaboratif et un soutien systémique aux approches évaluatives innovantes. Les résultats soulignent que le développement de la littératie en évaluation ne représente pas seulement une exigence technique, mais une force transformatrice pour créer des systèmes éducatifs plus réactifs, équitables et efficaces.

MOTS-CLÉS

Littératie en évaluation, évaluation des apprentissages, formation des enseignants, pratiques évaluatives, évaluation formative, équité éducative, stratégies d'enseignement

INTRODUCTION

In the complex ecosystem of contemporary education, assessment has evolved to fulfil a role that extends far beyond the mere assignment of marks. As evidenced by current scholarship, we are examining a multifaceted process that, when properly implemented, proves fundamental to successful teaching and learning. It was within this context that Richard Stiggins (1991) first introduced the concept of "assessment literacy" as the constellation of knowledge and skills teachers require to implement effective assessment practices. This conceptualisation emerged from the recognition that many educators, despite dedicating substantial professional time to assessment activities, demonstrated significant difficulties in developing appropriate instruments, as well as in interpreting and utilising assessment outcomes (Koh, 2011; Volante & Fazio, 2007).

The importance of assessment literacy for educational quality becomes particularly apparent when considering the symbiotic relationship between teaching, learning and assessment. As Black and Wiliam (1998a) contend, assessment should not constitute an isolated or terminal event in the educational process, but rather an ongoing practice that both informs and is informed by pedagogical action. In this regard, teachers with well-developed assessment literacy are better equipped to make evidence-based decisions about what and how to teach, adapting their strategies to learners' specific needs and consequently promoting improved learning outcomes. This intrinsic connection between assessment and teaching explains the growing scholarly interest in this field, particularly in light of evidence suggesting significant deficits in teachers' preparation for this fundamental aspect of professional practice (DeLuca & Klinger, 2010; Xu & Brown, 2016).

This article aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the concept of assessment literacy and its relevance to educational processes. Through critical examination of diverse conceptual perspectives, we demonstrate how teachers' mastery of assessment principles and techniques can serve as a determining factor in the quality of teaching and learning. Our discussion is structured around three principal axes: first, a detailed exploration of the concept of assessment literacy and its constituent dimensions; second, an analysis of its importance for improving educational practices; and third, a discussion of current challenges and future directions for its development within teacher education.

The analysis presented in this article is based on a thematic review of literature deemed seminal and representative in the field of assessment literacy and its impact on pedagogy. The selection of sources was guided by the following non-exhaustive criteria: (1) foundational theoretical works that established key concepts (e.g., Black & Wiliam, 1998b; Sadler, 1989); (2) empirical studies demonstrating the practical impact of assessment literacy on teaching and learning outcomes; and (3) recent scholarly articles addressing contemporary challenges and equity dimensions. Priority was given to peer-reviewed journal articles, landmark books, and influential policy reports from international organisations (e.g., OECD).

This approach aims to provide a comprehensive, though not systematically exhaustive, overview of the core arguments and evidence linking assessment literacy to improved educational processes.

CONCEPTUALISING ASSESSMENT LITERACY: DIMENSIONS AND THEORETICAL PERSPECTIVES

The conceptualisation of assessment literacy has evolved significantly since its initial formulation by Stiggins (1991). In his seminal work, the author defined it as the comprehensive knowledge teachers must possess regarding all aspects of learning assessment, encompassing not only techniques and instruments but also their theoretical foundations, purposes, and impacts. This initial definition has since been enriched by subsequent contributions that have broadened its scope and depth. Paterno (2001), for instance, emphasised the importance of understanding fundamental assessment principles, including specialised terminology, methodological development, quality standards, and alternatives to traditional assessment tools. Meanwhile, Mertler (2003), building on research from the Center for School Improvement and Policy Studies, highlighted the ability to recognise robust assessment practices, select appropriate methods, effectively communicate results, and engage students in the assessment process as constitutive elements of assessment literacy.

A comparative analysis of definitions proposed by scholars such as Quilter and Gallini (2000), Brown (2008), and Popham (2011) reveals several common elements characterising assessment literacy. First, theoretical knowledge about assessment principles and purposes, including an understanding of different assessment modalities and their specific functions within the educational process. Second, technical competence in developing and implementing diverse assessment instruments appropriate for various learning contexts and objectives. Third, the capacity to interpret and utilise assessment data to inform pedagogical decisions and enhance student learning. These elements collectively demonstrate that assessment literacy extends far beyond test administration, encompassing a holistic set of knowledge and skills that enable teachers to integrate assessment coherently and productively into teaching and learning processes.

The complexity and multidimensional nature of assessment literacy become particularly evident when examining various proposed frameworks. The Standards for Teacher Competence in Educational Assessment of Students, developed in 1990 by the American Federation of Teachers, National Council on Measurement in Education, and National Education Association, represents a fundamental reference in this field. This document identifies seven core competencies teachers should possess: (1) selecting appropriate assessment methods; (2) developing suitable assessment instruments; (3) administering, scoring, and interpreting results; (4) using outcomes to inform decisions about students, planning, and curriculum development; (5) establishing valid grading procedures; (6) communicating results to different audiences; and (7) recognising unethical or inappropriate assessment practices. This comprehensive framework reflects the multifaceted nature of assessment and its wide-ranging implications for teaching practice.

Stiggins (1991, 1995) proposed an alternative structure, identifying five key domains of assessment literacy: (1) ability to articulate clear assessment purposes; (2) knowledge of appropriate methods for different goals; (3) understanding the importance of assessing interrelated objectives; (4) gathering information through designed tasks; and (5) capacity to avoid distorted assessment approaches. As Koh (2011) notes, this framework particularly reflects the principles of authentic assessment, emphasising the need to align assessment closely with the actual competencies to be developed in learners.

Abell and Siegel (2011), drawing on empirical studies with science teachers, developed a model organising assessment literacy into four main categories. The first concerns knowledge about assessment purposes, including familiarity with diagnostic, formative, and summative functions, as well as the metacognitive function that helps students monitor their own learning. The second category focuses on knowledge of what to assess, directly relating to curriculum mastery and identifying what is truly important to learn within each subject. As the authors emphasise, what to assess is related to curricular goals and to values of what is important to learn and how learning occurs. The third category addresses knowledge of assessment strategies, encompassing both formal and informal methods, with particular emphasis on formative assessment, effective feedback, and student involvement through self and peer-assessment. Finally, the fourth category centres on knowledge about interpreting and utilising assessment data, highlighting the importance of accurate judgements about student performance and their influence on educational trajectories.

Xu and Brown (2016) proposed an even more detailed framework, identifying seven essential knowledge areas for assessment literacy. Beyond aspects mentioned by other scholars, they highlight the significance of Pedagogical Content Knowledge (PCK), representing the intersection between subject knowledge and knowledge about how to teach that content. As Cochran et al. (1991) note, this type of knowledge is unique to teachers and includes the ways of representing and formulating the subject that make it comprehensible to others. Other relevant areas in Xu and Brown's proposal include knowledge about grading scales, feedback principles and foundations, interpreting and communicating results, student engagement in assessment processes, and ethical considerations in assessment.

Analysis of these diverse conceptual perspectives allows us to identify four core dimensions of assessment literacy to be developed in the following section (Almeida, 2023, 2024). The first concerns knowledge about assessment purposes and functions, including understanding different assessment modalities and their specific aims. The second relates to knowledge about the curriculum and what is important to learn and assess within each subject. The third dimension involves knowledge about using diverse assessment instruments appropriate for different contexts. Finally, the fourth dimension refers to knowledge about interpreting and utilising assessment data. These dimensions are far from isolated; they interconnect and complement each other, forming a comprehensive framework that guides teachers' assessment practice.

THE IMPORTANCE OF ASSESSMENT LITERACY FOR TEACHING AND LEARNING PROCESSES

The significance of assessment literacy for educational quality manifests through multiple interconnected dimensions that warrant detailed examination. As demonstrated by Black and Wiliam's (1998a, 1998b) seminal studies, assessment constitutes far more than a simple measurement tool, it represents a dynamic catalyst for teaching and learning processes, with transformative potential for pedagogical practices and educational outcomes. This perspective resonates with Popham's (2011) characterisation of assessment literacy as a critical factor in informed educational decision-making, capable of positively influencing the entire learning ecosystem.

At the heart of this relationship between assessment literacy and educational quality lies the capacity to implement effective formative assessment. As Sadler (1989) and Hattie (1999) argue, teachers with well-developed assessment literacy are particularly adept at employing feedback as a powerful pedagogical tool, creating virtuous cycles of continuous improvement. These educators understand that, echoing Luckesi's (2005) metaphor revisited

by Neto and Aquino (2009), formative assessment can become a pedagogical "act of care" when adopting an inclusive approach that supports students throughout their knowledge-building journey. This principle is clearly illustrated in Frey and Fisher's (2011) three-dimensional model, which integrates feed-up (clarifying objectives), feedback (performance information), and feed-forward (progression guidance) as essential components of a comprehensive formative assessment system.

Assessment literacy proves equally crucial for moving beyond the hegemony of summative testing and implementing diverse assessment instruments. As cautioned by Fautley and Savage (2008) and Harlen (2007), the excessive predominance of summative assessment in school practices has detrimental effects, promoting superficial learning and fostering competitive environments ill-suited to holistic student development. In this context, teachers with robust assessment training distinguish themselves through their ability to select and develop multiple tools, from portfolios and direct observation to strategic classroom questioning, tailored to different learning objectives and contexts (Gottheiner & Siegel, 2012; Silva & Souza, 2007). This methodological diversification, far from being a mere exercise in variety, addresses the fundamental need to assess complex competencies that traditional paper-and-pencil tests cannot adequately capture, as highlighted by Neves and Ferreira's (2015) analysis of conventional testing limitations.

The connection between assessment literacy and informed pedagogical decision-making represents another crucial dimension. As Südkamp et al. (2014) research demonstrates, teachers' judgements about student performance profoundly impact educational trajectories, influencing both teaching strategies and progression decisions. Here, assessment literacy serves as an antidote to bias and subjectivity, ensuring such decisions are grounded in robust data and careful interpretation. Cardinet (1983) previously emphasised this function when identifying assessment's three primary purposes: regulating learning processes, certifying knowledge, and guiding/selection decisions. Each of these functions, as Fernandes (2005) argues, requires specific data analysis and interpretation skills that only thorough assessment training can provide.

The importance of assessment literacy extends further to matters of educational equity and social justice. As Gipps and Stobart (2009) caution, assessment is never a neutral act, it carries inherent values and biases that may perpetuate inequalities unless subjected to critical scrutiny. Teachers with strong assessment literacy remain particularly alert to these dynamics, implementing practices that promote inclusion and success for all learners, regardless of socioeconomic background. This sensitivity proves especially relevant in today's increasingly diverse classrooms, where adapting assessment tools and criteria to students' specific needs becomes ever more crucial (OECD, 2011).

Assessment literacy's impact also manifests in students' development of self-regulated learning skills. Research by Andrade (2019) and Ozan and Kincal (2018) shows that involving learners in assessment processes – through self-assessment, peer evaluation, and criteria reflection – significantly enhances their autonomy and capacity for "learning to learn." This perspective echoes Sadler's (1989) insights about making quality criteria explicit and actively engaging students in assessment, transforming them from passive subjects into active partners in knowledge construction.

At the macro-educational level, assessment literacy proves equally vital for effectively implementing educational policies and curriculum reforms. As Santiago et al. (2012) demonstrate in the Portuguese context, the gap between policy directives emphasising formative assessment and actual classroom practices may be partly attributed to shortcomings in teachers' assessment training. This disconnect suggests that pedagogical and curricular innovations will struggle to reach their full potential without parallel investment in developing

educators' assessment competencies, as DeLuca et al. (2013) argue when examining teacher education challenges in this field.

Examining these multiple dimensions helps explain why scholars like Popham (2018) consider assessment literacy a determining factor for educational success. The assessment errors he identifies – using inappropriate instruments, misusing appropriate instruments, and neglecting formative assessment – are not mere technical mistakes but rather fundamental flaws with profound consequences for learning processes. Conversely, when teachers master assessment principles and techniques, they create conditions conducive to more effective, equitable, and meaningful education, as Xu and Brown (2016) summarise in their characterisation of the assessment-literate teacher as a reflective professional capable of coherently aligning curriculum, instruction, and assessment.

CHALLENGES AND PERSPECTIVES FOR DEVELOPING ASSESSMENT LITERACY

Despite unanimous recognition of the importance of assessment literacy, the reality of teaching practices across diverse educational contexts reveals a concerning scenario that demands critical reflection and concrete intervention proposals. As demonstrated by studies from Koh (2011) and Volante and Fazio (2007), a significant proportion of teachers exhibit substantial gaps in fundamental assessment knowledge and competencies – a situation that McGee and Colby (2014) largely attribute to inadequate initial training in this area. This contradiction between what the literature recognises as essential and what practices reveal constitutes the core challenge currently facing educational assessment.

The analysis of this situation inevitably leads us to examine initial teacher education. As highlighted by Xu and Brown (2016), many initial training programmes offer only a single introductory module on assessment or, in some cases, include no specific content in this area whatsoever. This reality starkly contrasts with the recommendations of the Standards for Teacher Competence in Educational Assessment of Students (AFT, NCME & NEA, 1990), which for over three decades have advocated comprehensive and consistent preparation in assessment. The consequences of this training gap manifest in the insecurity and lack of confidence many teachers experience when facing assessment tasks, leading them, to replicate the assessment models they experienced as students, thereby perpetuating traditional and uninnovative practices (Almeida, 2024; Santos, 2016).

The implementation of formative assessment represents one domain where these difficulties are particularly acute. As argued by Hadji (2001), formative assessment requires teachers not only to possess technical knowledge about instruments and methods but, more importantly, to undergo a paradigm shift towards conceiving assessment as an ongoing process of learning regulation. This conceptual transformation frequently encounters concrete obstacles that Santos (2016) identifies as large class sizes, overcrowded curricula, and insufficient time for more individualised student support. The situation worsens when, as shown by studies from Plake et al. (1993), teachers themselves feel inadequately prepared to implement diverse assessment strategies, defaulting to traditional tests as their primary – if not sole – assessment instrument.

The development of assessment cultures in schools emerges as another significant challenge in this context. As noted by Stiggins (2002), assessment is not an isolated practice but rather an activity embedded in an organisational ecosystem with its own values, norms and expectations. In this sense, even teachers with adequate assessment training may find themselves constrained by institutional contexts that fail to value assessment innovation or that excessively prioritise standardised test results. This tension is particularly visible in the

study by Santiago et al. (2012) on the Portuguese case, which reveals a mismatch between official discourse emphasising formative assessment and a school culture still strongly influenced by the prioritisation of exams and grades.

The first line of intervention must necessarily involve a profound restructuring of initial training curricula, as advocated by DeLuca and Klinger (2010). These authors argue that assessment training cannot be limited to a single isolated module but must instead be integrated transversally throughout the entire training programme, closely aligned with subject-specific didactics and teaching practice periods. This approach would enable future teachers to develop assessment competencies in real-world contexts, overcoming the theory-practice divide that frequently characterises training courses.

Continuing professional development constitutes another strategic axis for developing assessment literacy. As suggested by Mertler and Campbell (2005), this training should adopt a practical and contextualised approach, focusing on teachers' specific needs and their particular working contexts. Peer study groups, professional learning communities, and mentoring by experienced assessors emerge as particularly promising methodologies in this area, as they facilitate a collaborative and reflective approach to professional development. As demonstrated by Hailaya et al. (2014) study in the Philippines, these strategies are especially effective when accompanied by diagnostic tools that enable teachers to identify their own training needs and monitor their progress.

At the institutional level, developing assessment cultures that value innovation and continuous improvement represents another critical factor for enhancing assessment literacy. As argued by Alkharusi et al. (2012), schools need to create dedicated spaces and time for reflection on assessment practices, collaborative development of assessment instruments, and sharing of successful experiences. This cultural transformation should be supported by educational policies that, as suggested by the work of Popham (2011) and Stiggins (2002), appropriately balance large-scale summative assessment with the necessary autonomy for schools to develop context-appropriate formative approaches.

Research on assessment literacy still presents important gaps that suggest promising avenues for future studies. As noted by Willis et al. (2013), there is a need to develop assessment literacy measurement tools that are culturally sensitive and adapted to different educational contexts. Concurrently, as advocated by Xu and Brown (2016), more longitudinal studies are needed to analyse the impact of different training models on the development of teachers' assessment competencies and, consequently, on student learning outcomes. These research directions could provide robust evidence to guide policies and practices in teacher education in this crucial area.

Overcoming the challenges facing the development of assessment literacy ultimately requires collective recognition of the central role assessment plays in educational quality. As synthesised by Black and Wiliam (1998b), investing in teachers' assessment training does not constitute a luxury or optional addition, but rather an essential condition for any education system that aspires to promote deep and meaningful learning. In this sense, the development of assessment literacy should be conceived not as an end in itself, but as the privileged means to realise the transformative potential of education in the 21st century.

FINAL CONSIDERATIONS: ASSESSMENT LITERACY AS A TRANSFORMATIVE LEVER

The analysis developed throughout this article allows us to conclude that assessment literacy constitutes a fundamental professional competence with multidimensional impact on the educational process. As demonstrated by studies from Stiggins (1991), Black and Wiliam

(1998b), and Popham (2018), we are dealing with much more than a set of assessment techniques - it is rather a holistic capacity that profoundly influences teaching quality, learning effectiveness, and the equity of educational systems. This conclusion gains particular relevance when confronted with evidence revealing significant deficits in teacher preparation in this area (Koh, 2011; DeLuca & Klinger, 2010), suggesting the urgency of coordinated interventions at multiple levels.

The analytical journey undertaken has identified three fundamental axes that deserve emphasis in this final reflection. First, the complex and multidimensional nature of assessment literacy, which ranges from theoretical knowledge about assessment principles and functions to practical skills in developing instruments, interpreting results, and making pedagogical decisions. As shown by the proposals of Abell and Siegel (2011) and Xu and Brown (2016), this complexity requires equally rich and diversified formative approaches that overcome reductive views of assessment as merely a measurement technique. Second, the transformative role that well-designed assessment can play in the teaching and learning process, particularly through formative assessment and effective feedback (Hattie & Timperley, 2007; Frey & Fisher, 2011). Finally, the significant challenges that persist in realizing this potential, ranging from limitations in initial training to institutional and cultural barriers that hinder assessment innovation (Santos, 2016; Santiago et al., 2012).

The perspectives for overcoming these challenges point to the need for a systemic approach that simultaneously involves initial and continuing teacher education, the development of assessment cultures in schools, and educational policies that value and support innovation in this area. As suggested by Mertler and Campbell (2005) and Alkharusi et al. (2012), this transformation should be based on collaborative and reflective practices, capable of responding to the specific needs of educational contexts. At the same time, as argued by Willis et al. (2013), it is crucial to invest in the development of diagnostic and monitoring tools that allow the evaluation and tracking of the evolution of teachers' assessment competencies.

In this context, assessment literacy emerges not as a final goal, but as a privileged means to realize the transformative potential of education. As Popham (2018) concludes, assessment-literate teachers are better prepared to make informed decisions that promote the success of all students, particularly those facing greater difficulties. This capacity proves especially crucial in a rapidly changing world, where education systems are called upon to prepare students not just to reproduce knowledge, but to think critically, solve complex problems, and learn throughout life.

The path to developing assessment literacy is undoubtedly challenging, but the potential benefits fully justify the necessary investment. As the theoretical review presented demonstrates, when teachers master the principles and practices of effective assessment, they create conditions conducive to more meaningful, inclusive, and transformative education. In this sense, the development of assessment literacy should be understood not as another burdensome demand on teachers, but rather as a powerful lever for valuing their profession and improving educational quality for the benefit of all learners.

REFERENCES

- Abell, S., & Siegel, M. (2011). Assessment Literacy: What science teachers need to know and be able to do. In D. Corrigan et al. (Eds), *The Professional knowledge base of Science teaching*. New York: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-3927-9_12.
- Alkharusi, H., Aldhafri, S., Alnabhani, H., & Alkalbani, M. (2012). Educational assessment attitudes, competence, knowledge and practices: An exploratory study of muscat teachers in

the Sultanate of Oman. *Journal of Education and Learning*, 1(2), 217-232. <https://doi.org/10.5539/jel.v1n2p217>.

Almeida, L. (2023). Qualidades psicométricas do questionário de aferição da literacia em avaliação. *Revista Portuguesa de Educação*, 36(1), e23007. <http://doi.org/10.21814/rpe.24079>.

Almeida, L. (2024). Los efectos de la formación continua en la competencia en evaluación de los profesores. *MLS Educational Research*, 8(2). <https://doi.org/10.29314/mlser.v8i2.2331>.

AFT, NCME & NEA (American Federation of Teachers, National Council on Measurement in Education, National Education Association) (1990). *The Standards for competence in the Educational Assessment of students*. Acedido em 29 de Março de 2017 em <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED323186.pdf>.

Andrade, H. (2019). A critical review of research on student self-assessment. *Frontiers in Education*, 4(87), 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2019.00087>.

Black, P., & William, B. (1998a). Assessment and classroom learning. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, 5(1), 7-74. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0969595980050102>.

Black, P., & William, B. (1998b). Inside the black box. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 80(2), 139-148.

Brown, G. (2008). Assessment literacy training and teachers' conceptions of assessment. In C. M. Rubie-Davis & C. Rawlinson (Eds), *Challenging thinking about teaching and learning*. New York: Nova Science Publishers.

Cardinet, J. (1983). *Des instruments d'évaluation pour chaque fonction*. Neuchâtel: IRDP.

Cochran, K., King, R., & DeRuiter, J. (1991). *Pedagogical Content Knowledge: A tentative model for teacher preparation*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Chicago, Illinois.

DeLuca, C., & Klinger, D. (2010). Assessment literacy development: Identifying gaps in teacher candidates' learning. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, 17(4), 419-438. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0969594X.2010.516643>.

DeLuca, C., Chavez, T., Bellara, A., & Cao, C. (2013). Pedagogies for preservice assessment education: Supporting teacher candidates' assessment literacy development. *The Teacher Educator*, 48(2), 128-142. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08878730.2012.760024>

Fernandes, D. (2005). *Avaliação das aprendizagens: Desafios às teorias, práticas e políticas*. Lisboa: Texto Editores.

Fautley, M., & Savage, J. (2008). *Assessment for learning and teaching in secondary schools*. Exeter: Learning Matters.

Frey, N., & Fisher, D. (2011). *The formative assessment action plan: Practical steps to more successful teaching and learning*. Alexandria (EUA): ASCD.

Gipps, C., & Stobart, G. (2009). Fairness in Assessment. In C. Wyatt-Smith and J. Cumming (Eds), *Educational Assessment in the 21st Century: Connecting Theory and Practice*, New York: Springer.

Gottheiner, D., & Siegel, M. (2012). Experienced Middle School Science teachers' Assessment Literacy: Investigating knowledge of students conceptions in Genetics and ways to shape instruction. *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, 23, 531-557. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-012-9278-z>.

- Hadji, C. (2001). *Avaliação Desmistificada*. Porto Alegre: Artmed.
- Hailaya, W., Alagumalai, S., & Ben. F. (2014). Examining the utility of Assessment Literacy Inventory and its portability to education systems in the Asia Pacific region. *Australian Journal of Education*, 58(297), 297-317. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0004944114542984>.
- Harlen, W. (2007). *Assessment of Learning*. Londres: Sage Publications.
- Hattie, J. (1999). *Influences on student learning. Inaugural professorial address*. University of Auckland, New Zealand. Extraído (a 24 de novembro de 2018) de: <https://cdn.auckland.ac.nz/assets/education/hattie/docs/influences-on-student-learning.pdf>.
- Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 77(1), 81-112. <https://doi.org/10.3102/003465430298487>.
- Koh, K. (2011). Improving teachers' assessment literacy through professional development. *Teaching Education*, 22(3), 255-276. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10476210.2011.593164>.
- Luckesi, C. (2005). *Avaliação da aprendizagem escolar: Estudos e proposições*. São Paulo: Cortez Editora.
- McGee, J., & Colby, S. (2014). Impact of an assessment course on teacher candidates' assessment literacy. *Action in Teacher Education*, 36(5-6), 522-532. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01626620.2014.977753>.
- Mertler, C. (2003). *Preservice versus inservice teachers' assessment literacy: Does classroom experience make a difference?* Paper presented at the meeting of the Mid-Western Educational Research Association, Columbus, Ohio.
- Mertler, C., & Campbell, C. (2005). *Measuring teachers' knowledge and application of classroom assessment concepts: Development of the Assessment Literacy Inventory*. Paper presented at the meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Montreal, Canada.
- Neto, A., & Aquino, J. (2009). A avaliação da aprendizagem como ato amoroso: O que o professor pratica?. *Educação em Revista*, 25(2), 223-240. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0102-46982009000200010>
- Neves, A., & Ferreira, A. (2015). *Avaliar é preciso? Guia prático de avaliação para professores e formadores*. Lisbon: Guerra e Paz.
- OECD (2011). *OECD Reviews of Evaluation and Assessment in Education: Norway 2011*. OECD Publishing.
- Ozan, C., & Kincal, R.(2014). The effects of formative assessment on academic achievement, attitudes toward the lesson, and self-regulation skills. *Educational Sciences: Theory and Practice*, 18(1), 85-118. <https://doi.org/10.12738/estp.2018.1.0216>.
- Paterno, J. (2001). *Measuring success: A glossary of assessment terms*. In *Building cathedrals: Compassion for the 21st century*. <http://www.angel-fire.com/wa2/buildingcathedrals/measuringsuccess.html>.
- Plake, B., Impara, J., & Fager, J. (1993). Assessment competencies of teachers: A national survey. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 12(4), 10-12. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-3992.1993.tb00548.x>
- Popham, W. (2011). Assessment literacy overlooked: A teacher educator's confession. *The Teacher Educator*, 46(4), 265-273. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08878730.2011.605048>.

- Popham, W. (2018). *Assessment literacy for educators in a hurry*. Alexandria (Virginia): ASCD.
- Quilter, S., & Gallini, J. (2000). Teacher assessment literacy and attitudes. *The Teacher Educator*, 36(2), 115-131. <https://doi.org/10.1080/088787300009555257>.
- Sadler, D. (1989). Formative assessment and the design of instructional systems. *Instructional Science*, 18, 119-144. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00117714>.
- Santiago, P., Donaldson, G., Looney, A., & Nusche, D.(2012). *OECD Reviews of Evaluation and Assessment in Education: Portugal 2012*. OECD Publishing.
- Santos, L. (2016). A articulação entre avaliação somativa e a formativa na prática pedagógica: uma impossibilidade ou um desafio? *Ensaio-Avaliação e Políticas Públicas*, 24(94), 637-669. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0104-40362016000300006>.
- Silva, M., & Souza, N. (2007). Portfolio: Limites e possibilidades em uma avaliação formativa. *EDUCERE*, 7, 1295-1307.
- Stiggins, R. (1991). Assessment literacy. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 72(7), 534-539.
- Stiggins, R. (1995). Assessment literacy for the 21st century. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 77(3), 238-246.
- Stiggins, R. (2002). Assessment crisis: The absence of assessment for learning. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 83(10), 758-765. <https://doi.org/10.1177/003172170208301010>.
- Südkamp, A., Kaiser, J., & Moller, J. (2014). Teachers' judgements of students' academic achievement. In S. Krolak-Schwerdt et al. (Eds), *Teachers' Professional Development*, Roterdão: Sense Publishers.
- Volante, L., & Fazio, X. (2007). Exploring teacher candidates' assessment literacy: Implications for teacher education. *Canadian Journal of Education*, 30(3), 749-770. <https://doi.org/10.2307/20466661>.
- Willis, J, Adie, L., & Klenowski, V. (2013). Conceptualising teachers' assessment literacies in an era of curriculum and assessment reform. *The Australian Association for Research in Education*, 40, 241-256. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13384-013-0089-9>.
- Xu, Y., & Brown, G. (2016). Teacher assessment literacy in practice: A reconceptualization. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 58, 149-162. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2016.05.010>.